

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. NO.: 3804-01  
BILL NO.: HB 1695  
SUBJECT: Teacher Retirement  
TYPE: Original  
DATE: February 8, 2000

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>
None	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>
<b>School Districts *</b>	<b>\$0*</b>	<b>\$0*</b>	<b>\$0*</b>

**\*DOES NOT REFLECT UNKNOWN REDUCTION IN SURPLUS OF PUBLIC SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM (PSRS). PSRS FUNDS ARE NOT CONSIDERED LOCAL FUNDS FOR FISCAL NOTE PURPOSES.**

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement** have reviewed this proposal and have determined that it does not represent a “substantial proposed change” in future plan benefits as defined in section 105.660(5), RSMo. Therefore, an actuarial cost statement is not required.

**Public School Retirement System (PSRS)** officials assume the proposal would provide for a deceased member’s surviving spouse who remarried prior to August 28, 1995, to elect to receive “any remaining benefits which may be payable after August 28, 2000.” PSRS officials assume it would not be possible to calculate an actuarial cost for the proposal because the meaning of the phrase “any remaining benefits which may be payable after August 28, 2000” is unclear, and there is no way to identify the affected individuals and therefore to know how many would be affected.

As of June 30, 1999, PSRS was overfunded by \$836,726,000. **Oversight** notes that **while there may be fiscal impact to the retirement system, there would likely be no immediate cost to local school districts since their contribution rates would likely not increase.** Funds of the retirement system are not considered local funds for fiscal note purposes. There will be long-term fiscal impact as a result of this legislation, since reduction of the system’s surplus will contribute to any need for increased contributions in the future.

	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>			
	\$0	\$0	\$0

	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>			
	\$0*	\$0*	\$0*

**\*DOES NOT REFLECT UNKNOWN REDUCTION IN SURPLUS OF PUBLIC SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM (PSRS). PSRS FUNDS ARE NOT CONSIDERED LOCAL FUNDS FOR FISCAL NOTE PURPOSES.**

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

L.R. NO. 3804-01  
BILL NO. HB 1695  
PAGE 3 OF 3  
February 8, 2000

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal extends to surviving spouses of members of the Public School Retirement System who remarried before August 28, 1995, any remaining benefits. It prohibits retroactive benefits.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement  
Public School Retirement System

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a cursive "e" at the end.

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director  
February 8, 2000